IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION (Lodg.) NO. 49 OF 2007

Ramesh Nirgur... ... . Petitioner.

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors... .... Respondents.

Ms.Sumedha Rao for the Petitioner.
Mr. P.G.Lad, AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2.
Ms.S.Ajith Kumar for Respondent No.3.

CORAM : SWATANTER KUMAR, C.J. & DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, J.

DATED : 26TH JULY, 2007.

P.C.:

Though there is apparently a doubt with regard to the very maintainability of the writ petition as to whether it seeks judicial enforcement of functions in the administrative or legislative side and the scope of jurisdiction exercisable by this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. However, it is not necessary to travel into this question as the learned Counsel appearing for the State submits that the Bill in question was placed before the Assembly in July, 2006 whereon it was referred to the Joint Select Committee.

2. In view of the statement made, no further direction can be issued. This petition has thus become infructuous and does not survive. The same is dismissed as such. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-

DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD,J.